DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 154 648 HE 009 804

TITLE Applications to Ontario Law Schools 1973 and 1974. Report of a Study of Ontario Law Schools Admissions Policies and Practices.
INSTITUTION Council of Ontario Universities, Toronto.
PUB DATE Feb 78
NOTE 61p.
AVAILABLE FROM Council of Ontario Universities, 130 St. George Street, Suite 8039, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2T4

EDRS PRICE MF-$0.83 HC-$3.50 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Admission Criteria; *College Admission; Graduate Study; Higher Education; *Law Schools; *Legal Education; *Professional Education; Sex Differences; *Student Application; Student Characteristics; Tables (Data); Transfers; Undergraduate Study
IDENTIFIERS *Ontario

ABSTRACT

An overview of the source of law school applications to Ontario law schools in 1973 and 1974 and the relationship of certain variables to the admission process is presented. The tables provided reflect the following information: applicants, offer recipients and registrants by law school, age, length of university experience, highest degree obtained, law school applied to and university of last attendance, date of last attendance at university, number of schools applied to, and law school applied to and number of previous applications submitted; sex of applicants, offer recipients and registrants; interuniveristy transfer of law school applicants from Ontario universities; offer recipients who did not register by university of last attendence; applicants by date of last attendence at university and highest degree obtained; all applicants by combination of applications submitted; number of applications submitted by university of last attendence; number of applications submitted by sex; response of applicants to offers of amission; and basis for admission of the first year class. (SPG)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED154648

APPLICATIONS TO ONTARIO LAW SCHOOLS 1973 and 1974

Report of a study of Ontario law schools admissions policies and practices

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Council of Ontario Universities

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM."

Council of Ontario Universities
Conseil des Universités de l'Ontario

February, 1978

78 - 4

HE00 7804

APPLICATIONS TO ONTARIO LAW SCHOOLS 1973 and 1974

Report of a study of Ontario law schools admissions policies and practices

Council of Ontario Universities
Conseil des Universités de l'Ontario
130 St. George Street
Suite 8039
Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2T4

February, 1978

78 - 4

Project Steering Committee:

P.D. Maddaugh, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

Dr. E.A. Robinson, Department of Chemistry, Erindale College,
University of Toronto

L. Robinson, Faculty of Law, Queen's University
(resigned May, 1975)

D.A. Soberman, Faculty of Law, Queen's University
(appointed June, 1975)

Project Research Associate:

Colin F.W. Isaacs, Research Division,
Council of Ontario Universities

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	ii
Preface	iv
Introduction	1
Definitions	2
Methodology	3
Basic Application Statistics	5
Educational Source of Applicants and Offer Recipients	8
Behavioural Characteristics of Applicants	12
Concluding Note	14
Tables	15
Appendix I	44

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Law School - 1973 + 1974	15
2 - 73	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Age - 1973	16
2 - 74	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Age - 1974	17
3	Sex of Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants 1973 + 1974	18
4 - 73	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Length of University Experience - 1973	19
4 - 74	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Length of University Experience - 1974	20
5 - 73	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Highest Degree Obtained - 1973	21
5 - 74	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Highest Degree Obtained - 1974	22
6	Applications, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Law School Applied to and University of Last Attendance - 1973 + 1974	23
7 - 73	Interuniversity Transfer of Law School Applicants from Ontario Universities - 1973	24
7 - 74	Interuniversity Transfer of Law School Applicants from Ontario Universities - 1974	24
8A - 8F	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Law School Applied to and University of Last Attendance - 1973 + 1974 :	
	8A - Ottawa	25
	8B - Queen's	26
	8C - Toronto	27
	8D - Western	28
	8E - Windsor	29
	8F - York	30

Table		Page
9	Offer Recipients Who Did Not Register by University of Last Attendance - 1973 + 1974	31
10	Applicants by Date of Last Attendance at University and Highest Degree Obtained - 1973 + 1974	32
11 - 73	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Date of Last Attendance at University - 1973	33
11 - 74	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Date of Last Attendance at University - 1974	34
12	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Number of Schools Applied to - 1973 + 1974	35
13 - 73	All Applicants by Combination of Applications Submitted - 1973	36
13 - 74	All Applicants by Combination of Applications Submitted - 1974	37
14	Number of Applications Submitted by University of Last Attendance - 1973 + 1974	38
15	Number of Applications Submitted by Sex - 1973 + 1974	39
16 - 73	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Law School Applied to and Number of Previous Applications Submitted - 1973	40
16 - 74	Applicants, Offer Recipients and Registrants by Law School Applied to and Number of Previous Applications Submitted - 1974	41
17	Response of Applicants to Offers of Admission - 1973 + 1974	42
18	Basis for Admission of the First Year Class - 1973 + 1974	43

Preface

This report was discussed at the December, 1977 meeting of the Committee of Ontario Law Deans. The report from the Committee of Ontario Law Deans reads as follows:

> General appreciation was expressed for the completion of the study, which provides an overview of the source of law school applications and the relationship of certain variables to the admissions process. Not surprisingly a degree of satisfaction was derived from the confidence expressed in the report for the law school admissions process. At the same time, the report answers the specific concerns expressed by universities without law faculties about the admissions process.
>
> It is, nonetheless, obvious that the significance of the report is somewhat diminished by the fact that the data are now somewhat dated, and that they do not cover a long enough period of time to identify trends. In this regard, the establishment of a joint application process would obviously facilitate the conduct of surveys of this nature and, indeed, improve access to specific information regarding admissions which might be required from time to time.
>
> The question of a joint applications process was discussed at the November meeting of the Admissions Officers of the Ontario Law Faculties. Unfortunately, no consensus emerged from the meeting and there remains some opposition to the idea.

This report is published by the Council of Ontario Universities for the information of all who may be interested in the law school admissions process. The Council does not necessarily endorse either the above statement or the conclusions which are contained in the text of this report.

Introduction

The large numbers of students who were seeking admission to a comparatively small number of places in professional schools in Ontario universities in the early seventies led, almost inevitably, to expressions of concern about the selection procedures used. In response to these concerns, a study of enrolment restrictions was carried out by COU in 1973. The report, presented to COU in early 1974, was incomplete and was not published, but it indicated that further study was warranted. The approach was revised and the key disciplines were separated so that they might be studied individually. This report presents the results of a study of applicants to Ontario law schools in 1973 and 1974.

No previously published information with which the results of this study might be compared could be found. Law schools in Ontario have not participated in any kind of joint application process and hence even such basic information as the number of persons seeking admission to law school in Ontario, as distinct from the number of applications received by each law school, has not been available as part of any historical survey. The two years of this study (1973 and 1974) were chosen because complete data were readily available at the time the study was launched. The compilation of a master file of applicants proved to be a major undertaking. As each of almost 9,000 applicants submitted an average of about 2.6 applications, and as data available to each of the schools were in different formats in files that could not be read by machine, the human effort requried to compile the data was quite staggering. The results of that effort, including the valuable contributions made by admissions staff in each of the law schools, is presented in this report.

A report which contains data for two consecutive years presents some problems in presentation and interpretation. In some ways the data for 1974 are slightly more useful than those for 1973. The reasons for this will be mentioned later. In order to avoid a cumbersome style, this report presents the data for both years simultaneously, but comments which compare the 1973 and 1974 data are introduced wherever there are significant differences between the two years. It must be remembered that, where differences between the two years exist, it is not possible to identify the year that is more "typical", nor is it possible to identify any trends.

Definitions

An application is an application for admission submitted to an Ontario law school. Its strict definition was left to each school, but casual letters of enquiry were generally not regarded as applications. An incomplete application is an application for which not all the required information had been submitted by the final date for receipt of applications, perhaps because the information was not available, because the student had not written the law school admission test, or because the student was not sufficiently serious about being considered for admission. An applicant is a person who submitted one or more applications.

An offer recipient is an applicant who received a favourable response to at least one application. A favourable response is an unconditional or a conditional offer of admission. A waiting list position that was not subsequently converted into a firm offer is not regarded as a favourable response. For the purpose of example, an Ottawa offer recipient is an applicant who received a favourable response from the University of Ottawa law school.

A registrant is a person who registered in an Ontario law school. It should be noted that five individuals in 1973 and seven in 1974 were reported as being registrants by two law schools and the total number of registrants therefore exceeds the number of individuals who registered in law school by those numbers. The problem arose as a result of late changes made by the students to plans that had been communicated to the law schools and should not be construed as a reflection on the reliability of the schools' records.

Methodology

The data for this study were collected from the admissions office of each Ontario law school. Following a meeting with admissions officers, coding forms and detailed instructions were distributed. The instructions were reviewed through personal contact, and supplementary instructions were issued to deal with individual data reporting problems. The necessary data were transferred manually by law school staff from individual applicant files to the coding forms. Data from one law school were submitted on machine printed sheets and final coding was done by project staff.

Considerations of confidentiality tended to increase the manual effort required in matching records from the various schools. The reliability and rate of possession of social insurance numbers among students in the early seventies made those numbers useless as an identifier in a project such as this. Student names were not available to project staff, but, after a review of statistical data concerning the frequency of occurrence of names in North America and after consultation with the law schools, it was agreed that records would be identified with the individuals' first two initials, first three letters of last name, and date of birth. This procedure proved to be adequate.

As most schools had moved an applicant's file to a current location if the applicant had applied previously, applicant records for 1973 for those applicants who applied both in 1973 and 1974 were created from the 1974 record using the field "most recent previous application" as a flag. In addition to making all necessary adjustments to the record, checks were made in order to prevent the duplication of records.

Records from the six schools were matched by machine to create a master file of applicants for each of the two years. Extensive machine and manual verification procedures, using applicant identifier as the prime key and birthdate, sex, citizenship and university of last attendance as secondary keys, were employed to minimize failure to match records for the same student.

These procedures were such that there can be a high level of confidence in the totals shown in this report. Discrepancies among the data on the background of individual applicants submitted by the various schools were resolved by simple formulae involving logical relationships. Where these were not adequate, procedures involving probability and, as a last resort, random selection were employed. Certain elements posed particular problems. Some law schools could not provide complete data on applicant citizenship and several provided incomplete data on student visa status. This has led to a higher than desirable percentage of "not reported" cases for these elements. Academic record and Law Schools Admission Test (LSAT) score coding was even less satisfactory. The manual processing of applications had eliminated for the law schools a need to prepare consistent academic record data. There was no consistent handling of LSAT scores, particularly for applicants who had taken the Test more than once. Some schools were not able to prepare accurate data on these elements. Varying interpretations of the scale which was developed for the reporting of these elements and various attitudes towards the basic requirements for admission to law school have resulted in the data collected for these elements being of very limited utility. Less significant limitations apply to some other elements and these are mentioned elsewhere in the text.

The data contained in this report relate to the complete population of applicants for the two year period under study. In view of the approach taken, no detailed analysis of the accuracy of the data is possible. However, the considerable effort mounted by school staff and by project staff and the detailed checks built into the data processing system should lead the reader to have confidence in the accuracy of the data which are presented in this report.

Basic application statistics

Table 1 shows the number of applicants, offer recipients, and registrants for each school for the two years of the study. In 1973, 4120 applicants competed for about 1195 places. In 1974, 4818 competed for about 1142 places. In both years, competition for places was greatest at Toronto and Queen's and least at Windsor. The figures show an increase of 17% in the number of applicants between the two years with no significant change in the number of places available. It should be mentioned that the small variations in the numbers of registrants are due to perturbations within the schools, including students repeating first year, and indicate an essentially static intake.

The uncoordinated multiple application situation makes it difficult to compare the pressure for places at the various schools. The reasons that led a student to choose a particular school after having applied to several are beyond the scope of this study, but the figures in Table 1 seem to suggest a division of the schools into two groups, with Queen's, Toronto, and Western having registered a lower percentage of their total applicants than the other three schools. However, students who received offers from Ottawa and York were more likely to accept those offers and register at the school than were students who received offers from other schools. It is worth noting that, of all the students applying to law school in 1973 and 1974, 34% received an offer of a place at at least one of the law schools to which they had applied. Having received an offer, 23% of those students, representing 8% of the total applicant population, chose not to register in an Ontario law school. The programmes, be they law or otherwise, in which those student subsequently registered would be of considerable interest, but this information is not available from this study.

It is often suggested that a large number of those that apply for admission to limited enrolment programmes must be below even the minimum qualifications that could lead to acceptance even if there were no competition. Although the available academic record and LSAT data are very limited, it would appear

that this was not the case in the years of the study and that only a very small percentage were totally unacceptable to the schools. Applicants who were not seriously interested in entering law school might also distort the applications statistics. In general, applicants were required to submit a number of different documents to each law school to which they applied. It is a reasonable hypothesis that the half-hearted applicant will not have bothered to ensure that his application was complete. Schools were asked to indicate those applicants who were not considered because their files were incomplete. This also served to check that schools were not reporting as applicants those students who had done little more than write an initial letter of enquiry to the school. It was found that 5% of applicants in 1973 and 8% of applicants in 1974 were rejected by the one or more schools to which they applied because they did not submit a complete applicant file. The majority of these students applied to only one school and they were distributed among all schools. If one assumes that these were not serious applicants, the percentage of serious applicants who received an offer is slightly higher than that shown in Table 1. In support of the hypothesis that file-incomplete applicants can be considered as other than serious applicants is the fact that, in most cases, an applicant who had not submitted a complete application to one school had also not submitted complete applications to other schools to which he had applied.

While discussing the size of the applicant and registrant populations it is appropriate to mention some of the other background variables for which data were collected during the course of the study. Table 2 shows the age ranges of applicants, offer recipients and registrants at each school. There were no major differences between schools in the age composition of the applicant pool or of the first year class. Table 3 presents a similar breakdown by sex. In every case at least the same percentage of female applicants as male applicants received an offer, and in many cases the first year class contained a higher percentage of female students than was contained in the pool of applicants. The average number of offers received by each offer recipient was essentially the same for men and women, and women were no

more likely than men to decline an offer of admission.

As has been mentioned previously, certain schools were unable to provide citizenship and visa status data for their applicants and registrants. The available data suggest that less than 10% of applicants were other than Canadian citizens or landed immigrants and the percentage of foreign (student visa) students in the first year class appears to have been very much less than this.

Educational source of applicants and offer recipients

Ontario law schools will not normally consider applicants who are not in at least their second year of university studies. Table 4 indicates that this policy was generally followed, with only the occasional student having being admitted under the mature or native applicant criteria which can lead to a waiver of this requirement. Length of university experience would not appear to have been a general criterion against which applications were considered, although it appears that Queen's accepted the lowest percentage of two-year students while other schools had various degrees of preference for students with two, rather than three or four, years of university experience. The same tendency is reflected in Table 5, which shows the percentage of students in the several populations who held degrees at various levels. It will be noticed that Queen's accepted a smaller percentage of students without a degree than did the other law schools. It will also be noticed that a number of students with graduate degrees applied for, and in some cases gained, admission to law school.

Table 6 shows the last university attended for the applicant, offer recipient, and registrant populations. Applications were submitted by students who were attending or had attended every Ontario university and many other Canadian and foreign universities. About 72% of applicants were from an Ontario university and 17% were from a university in another Canadian province. An analysis of the number of students who applied from each Ontario university as a percentage of the total enrolment at that university was performed. A substantially higher percentage of students at the universities of York, Western, Toronto and Queen's than at other Ontario universities applied to law school. These four universities accounted for 66% of the Ontario applicants, but they accommodated only about 48% of the total university enrolment in the province. It should be noted that 28 applicants in 1973 and 91 applicants in 1974 had not attended university at the time of application.

There have been suggestions that students might transfer from a university that does not have a law school to one that does prior to submitting their

application. Table 7 presents information on the last university and on the previous university, if any, attended by all applicants who last attended one of the fifteen Ontario universities. Close to 13% of all applicants from Ontario universities had previously attended some other Ontario university. No data on general interuniversity transfer of students are available and one cannot determine whether prospective law school applicants were more mobile than the general university population. The table indicates that the greatest movement of Ontario students prior to their applying to law school was from universities with law schools to universities without law schools. In both years of the study the smallest group, when expressed as a percentage of the appropriate applicant population, was that containing students who had moved from universities without to those with law schools. The data, then, offer no support to the suggestion made at the beginning of the paragraph.

Table 6 shows that differences among applicant populations from the fifteen Ontario universities in terms of the percentage accepted by an Ontario law school are not major. A more detailed analysis indicates that the differences are statistically significant, but it is difficult to pinpoint exactly the sources of, or reasons for, those differences. The six parts of Table 8 show the situation for each law school. Ottawa law school attracted a greater number of Carleton and Ottawa applicants than any other law school and many of the other universities were relatively poorly represented in Ottawa's applicant pool. In 1973 the school made offers to a percentage of the applicants from those two universities that was higher than the percentage of applicants from any other university who received offers. In 1974 this did not take place. Queen's law school accepted a higher percentage of Queen's applicants than other applicants in both years of the study. Toronto accepted applicants from all universities and there was little consistency between the two years, suggesting that university attended, or some related factor, did not play as great a part in the decision making process in 1973 and 1974 at Toronto as the data suggest it might have done at Queen's and Ottawa. Nevertheless, it would appear that applicants from Brock, Guelph, and York, and possibly Ottawa, had less of a chance of receiving

an offer from Toronto than did applicants from other Ontario universities. During the two years of the study, Western, Windsor and York accepted students relatively uniformly from all Ontario universities.

Insufficient data are available to enable one to draw conclusions about those students who received an offer but who did not register at any law school. The data suggest that applicants from Guelph, Lakehead, Laurentian, McMaster, Western, and Windsor may have been less likely to reject a place in law school than other applicants, but the small size of the appropriate populations puts this conclusion on shaky ground. It is quite clear, as would be expected, that applicants from universities outside the province were much more likely than Ontario university applicants to refuse an offer of a place in an Ontario law school (Table 9). This was also, to a lesser extent, the situation with foreign university offer recipients. The tendency of students to have accepted offers at their own university law school rather than elsewhere is again clear. Ottawa students were more likely to accept an offer at the Ottawa law school than offer recipients from any other university that has good representation in the Ottawa pool, and similarly for applicants to other schools from that same school. The very rough rule of thumb seemed to break down at Toronto in 1974, however, with a significant increase in the percentage of Toronto offer recipients who decided not to register at Toronto. This increase was offset by a corresponding decrease in refusals from offer recipients from certain other universities.

Several analyses concerning the final choice of students who received offers from more than one law school were conducted. Again the small size of the population and the lack of additional demographic information make firm conclusions difficult, but there would appear to have been a certain ordering of student preferences which would be consistent with the theory that a student's final choice of school was influenced by applicant perception of the "quality" of a school modified by geographic factors which might have encouraged the student to remain at or near the university in which he was already enrolled.

As has already been mentioned, the collection of applicants' grade point average and LSAT score data was essentially frustrated by the inability of the schools to report on anything approaching a consistent basis or, in some cases, to report at all. The available data do not permit meaningful interschool comparisons of academic and LSAT ratings nor do they permit anything other than extremely general comments concerning the abilities of various groups of students. The data would not be inconsistent with the hypothesis that applicants from the "senior" universities in the province had academic average ratings and LSAT score ratings that were higher than those of students from other universities, but the data would not permit either quantification of this or explicit definition of the two groups. One interesting point did come to light as a result of these studies, however. Female applicants tended to have academic record ratings higher than those of male applicants, but they were consistently rated with the same distribution as male applicants on LSAT scores. Currently available data for Ontario law school applicants will not permit further investigation of this point.

Table 10 shows that 69% of applicants were registered in a university at the time they applied to law school, as were over 80% of those who had no degree, and well over 90% of those who had the minimum two years of university experience. This contrasts with the 70% of applicants holding a PhD who had left university prior to the time they applied to law school. Applicants who were no longer attending university made up a similar percentage of the applicant pool at each law school (Table 11) and the data indicate that, with the possible exception of Queen's where such applicants may have had a slightly better chance of receiving an offer, they had the same probability of being accepted as applicants currently enrolled in university.

Behavioural characteristics of applicants

From Table 1 it can be deduced that the average applicant submitted about 2.6 applications. Table 12 breaks this down further and, for those who wish to deal with the minute detail or who are able to focus on specific combinations, Table 13 shows the number of students who submitted applications to each of the possible 57 combinations of schools. Table 12 indicates another phenomenon: the more schools an applicant applied to, the more likely he or she was to be accepted. The traditional explanation of such a phenomenon, that chance is a component in the selection process, is almost certainly not applicable here, but further investigation of the available data has failed to confirm any of the plausible explanations. Table 14 shows the number of applications submitted by applicants from each university, but, like Table 15, it does not indicate any pattern that might be useful for the interpretation of the above mentioned phenomenon. It is, however, a reasonable hypothesis that serious well qualified students tend to apply to more schools than those who believe that they have no real chance of being admitted. Table 15 is interesting in its own right, however, in that it indicates that the average female applicant submitted fewer applications and, from Table 3, is more likely to receive at least one offer than her male counterpart.

One can expect there to be a group of applicants who apply to law school every year, perhaps hoping that they will gain admission through an administrative error or through sheer persistence. The design of this study has resulted in a possible shortfall in the previous applications elements for 1973, but the 1974 figures, Table 16, show that an average of about 13% of applicants to each school in 1974 had applied to that school previously. Over 80% of these individuals had applied in 1973, with the remaining group having last applied in some earlier year. While the rate of acceptance of these students is less than the rate for first-time applicants, it is nevertheless apparent that students who have applied previously can gain admission to law school.

It must be remembered that many of these applicants may be students who first applied during their second year of university and who were subsequently able to improve their academic record through an additional period of university study.

Table 17 shows the response of applicants to offers which they received. The fact that students received offers from different schools at different times accounts for the fairly large number of students who accepted and subsequently cancelled their acceptance of an offer. Of more serious concern are the fairly large numbers of students who accepted an offer, which in many cases required the payment of a tuition deposit, but who did not cancel their acceptance of the offer and did not register. There are also significant numbers of students who did not bother to respond to the offers which they received.

Table 18 shows the numbers in the first year classes who were admitted under mature student or native student admission rules rather than through the normal admissions criteria.

Concluding note

With over 20 elements and 8938 applicant records representing 23101 applications in the file, the number of possible analyses that could be carried out appears, from a staff point of view, to be almost without limit. A large number were studied in detail during this study. In order to produce a compact report it has been necessary to eliminate many of the possible areas of study. It is hoped that the data that have been presented provide the reader with at least a superficial understanding of the source of law school applications and the relationship of certain variables to the admissions process.

As far as is possible, the highlights that emerged during the detailed review have been mentioned in this report and the reader can rest assured that no startling discoveries remain unmentioned. The information presented herein provides considerable support for a substantial degree of confidence in the law school admissions process.

TABLE 1

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS BY LAW SCHOOL - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

LAW SCHOOL	APPLICANTS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS	OFFER RECIPIENTS AS % OF APPLICANTS	REGISTRANTS AS % OF APPLICANTS	REGISTRANTS AS % OF OFFER RECIPIENTS
OTTAWA	1158	324	181	28.0	15.6	55.9
	1517	*337*	*176*	*22.2*	*11.6*	*52.2*
QUEEN'S	1997	370	140	18.5	7.0	37.8
	2057	*308*	*149*	*15.0*	*7.2*	*48.4*
TORONTO	2234	319	164	14.3	7.3	51.4
	2647	*325*	*160*	*12.3*	*6.0*	*49.2*
WESTERN	1816	437	171	24.1	9.4	39.1
	2252	*366*	*155*	*16.3*	*6.9*	*42.3*
WINDSOR	1430	512	208	35.8	14.5	40.6
	1803	*477*	*188*	*26.5*	*10.4*	*39.4*
YORK	1891	557	331	29.4	17.5	59.4
	2299	*517*	*314*	*22.5*	*13.7*	*60.7*
ALL APPLICATIONS	10526	2519	1195	23.9	11.4	47.4
	12575	*2330*	*1142*	*18.5*	*9.1*	*49.0*
ALL APPLICANTS	4120	1554	1195	37.7	29.0	76.9
	4818	*1487*	*1142*	*30.9*	*23.7*	*76.8*

TABLE 2 - 73

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS BY AGE - 1973

LAW SCHOOL		% of Individuals in Age Range (Age as of September 1, 1973)												
		<20	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27-30	31-40	41+	NOT REPORTED	TOTAL
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.7	5.6	15.1	21.4	16.8	10.5	6.8	6.0	7.7	4.3	1.0	3.9	1158
	Offer Recipients	0.3	7.1	19.4	19.8	14.8	7.4	5.2	4.6	3.1	4.3	1.2	12.7	324
	Registrants	-	6.6	14.9	14.9	14.9	7.7	5.5	3.3	3.9	3.3	2.2	22.7	181
QUEEN'S	Applicants	1.2	7.7	18.2	22.5	18.1	10.0	7.4	4.6	6.6	3.2	0.5	0.1	1997
	Offer Recipients	0.8	8.6	21.6	21.3	22.7	7.8	5.1	3.8	5.1	3.0	-	-	370
	Registrants	1.4	7.1	18.6	19.3	30.0	6.4	5.0	4.3	2.9	5.0	-	-	140
TORONTO	Applicants	1.7	8.0	17.8	21.5	15.5	10.1	7.2	5.6	7.9	4.0	0.7	0.1	2234
	Offer Recipients	3.1	14.1	19.7	18.8	15.7	10.0	4.7	4.4	6.9	2.2	0.3	-	319
	Registrants	3.7	12.2	17.1	21.3	18.9	12.2	3.0	3.0	4.9	3.0	0.6	-	164
WESTERN	Applicants	1.1	8.9	18.9	23.7	17.5	10.1	6.2	4.6	5.7	2.6	0.7	-	1816
	Offer Recipients	1.4	13.0	22.7	19.9	16.5	7.8	4.8	3.9	6.2	3.0	0.9	-	417
	Registrants	1.2	12.3	16.4	19.9	16.4	9.9	7.0	4.7	6.4	3.5	2.3	-	171
WINDSOR	Applicants	1.0	6.6	17.0	23.8	19.1	10.2	7.3	5.5	6.1	3.1	0.3	-	1430
	Offer Recipients	1.8	10.1	22.9	21.1	16.8	8.4	6.3	5.1	5.3	2.3	-	-	512
	Registrants	1.9	8.7	18.3	20.2	18.3	10.1	5.8	6.7	7.2	2.9	-	-	208
YORK	Applicants	1.4	7.4	17.7	21.2	16.4	9.3	7.0	5.2	8.0	4.2	1.0	1.3	1891
	Offer Recipients	2.7	10.6	23.0	20.1	12.9	5.7	3.6	4.7	7.5	3.9	0.9	4.3	557
	Registrants	3.3	9.7	23.6	18.7	8.5	5.4	3.6	4.5	9.7	4.5	1.2	7.3	331
	Applicants	1.5	7.5	15.9	19.9	15.9	10.1	7.7	5.8	8.5	4.4	1.2	1.8	4120
	Offer Recipients	2.1	9.7	19.4	19.2	16.0	8.3	5.6	4.6	6.2	4.0	0.9	4.2	1534
	Registrants	2.1	9.5	18.8	19.0	16.2	8.3	4.9	4.5	6.4	3.8	1.1	5.4	1195

TABLE 2 - 74

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS BY AGE - 1974

LAW SCHOOL		% of Individuals in Age Range (Age as of September 1, 197)												TOTAL
		<20	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27-30	31-40	41+	NOT REPORTED	
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.7	4.7	17.0	21.5	16.9	10.3	6.7	4.9	10.5	5.1	1.1	0.5	1517
	Offer Recipients	2.7	8.0	25.5	24.3	14.2	7.1	3.9	4.2	5.0	4.5	0.6	-	337
	Registrants	2.8	8.0	26.1	18.2	14.8	8.5	4.5	6.3	5.7	4.0	1.1	-	176
QUEEN'S	Applicants	1.1	6.6	17.5	23.6	16.8	9.4	6.7	4.8	8.9	4.0	0.6	-	2057
	Offer Recipients	2.3	4.5	15.9	23.4	17.2	9.1	6.5	2.6	10.4	6.2	1.9	-	308
	Registrants	0.7	4.7	14.1	23.5	17.4	8.1	6.7	3.4	13.4	4.7	3.4	-	149
TORONTO	Applicants	1.5	7.3	17.0	21.0	14.6	9.5	6.2	5.1	11.1	5.7	1.0	-	2647
	Offer Recipients	3.7	9.2	19.1	20.9	11.7	8.0	5.5	5.2	9.2	5.8	1.5	-	325
	Registrants	3.1	7.5	18.8	17.5	10.0	6.9	7.5	8.1	11.3	6.9	2.5	-	160
WESTERN	Applicants	1.2	7.5	19.0	23.0	16.8	9.2	6.0	3.6	9.2	4.0	0.5	0.1	2252
	Offer Recipients	1.4	11.5	24.0	24.9	15.0	5.5	4.6	4.1	4.9	3.0	1.1	-	366
	Registrants	1.3	12.9	24.5	22.6	15.5	5.2	4.5	3.2	5.2	3.2	1.9	-	155
WINDSOR	Applicants	0.9	6.4	17.0	23.2	18.2	10.7	6.6	4.5	8.4	3.4	0.4	-	1803
	Offer Recipients	2.3	12.8	21.4	23.9	14.9	7.3	5.0	4.0	6.1	2.1	-	-	477
	Registrants	2.1	10.6	19.7	25.5	14.9	6.4	4.3	6.4	9.0	1.1	-	-	188
YORK	Applicants	1.7	7.1	16.5	21.1	15.2	8.7	5.8	4.7	11.0	6.1	1.4	0.8	2299
	Offer Recipients	3.3	11.6	21.3	19.5	11.6	6.8	4.6	4.6	8.3	5.4	1.5	1.5	517
	Registrants	3.2	15.0	19.4	15.9	11.8	6.4	4.5	4.5	9.9	6.7	1.6	1.3	314
	Applicants	1.4	6.4	15.8	19.7	14.8	9.8	6.4	5.5	11.6	6.6	1.5	0.6	4818
	Offer Recipients	2.3	9.4	20.4	20.8	14.2	7.4	5.2	4.7	8.7	4.7	1.6	0.5	1487
	Registrants	2.4	10.5	20.4	20.0	13.7	6.8	5.2	5.3	9.1	4.6	1.7	0.4	1142

TABLE 3

SEX OF APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

LAW SCHOOL		APPLICANTS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
OTTAWA	Male	1004	261 (26.0)	144 (14.3)
		1251	*256 (20.5)*	*138 (11.0)*
	Female	153	63 (41.2)	37 (24.2)
		265	*81 (30.6)*	*38 (14.3)*
	Not Reported	1	- (-)	- (-)
		1	*- (-)*	*- (-)*
QUEEN'S	Male	1665	274 (16.5)	105 (6.3)
		1663	*219 (13.2)*	*106 (6.4)*
	Female	332	96 (28.9)	35 (10.5)
		394	*89 (22.6)*	*43 (10.9)*
TORONTO	Male	1809	241 (13.3)	121 (6.7)
		2034	*252 (12.4)*	*123 (6.0)*
	Female	425	78 (18.4)	43 (10.1)
		613	*73 (11.9)*	*37 (6.0)*
WESTERN	Male	1570	358 (22.8)	138 (8.8)
		1844	*279 (15.1)*	*119 (6.5)*
	Female	246	79 (32.1)	33 (13.4)
		408	*87 (21.3)*	*36 (8.8)*
WINDSOR	Male	1269	450 (35.4)	187 (14.7)
		1523	*380 (25.0)*	*155 (10.2)*
	Female	161	62 (38.5)	21 (13.0)
		280	*97 (34.6)*	*33 (11.8)*
YORK	Male	1528	433 (28.3)	255 (16.7)
		1744	*357 (20.5)*	*214 (12.3)*
	Female	363	124 (34.1)	76 (20.9)
		553	*160 (28.9)*	*100 (18.1)*
	Not Reported	-	- (-)	- (-)
		2	*- (-)*	*- (-)*
	Male	3368	1225 (36.4)	950 (28.2)
		3792	*1112 (29.3)*	*855 (22.5)*
	Female	751	329 (43.8)	245 (32.6)
		1023	*375 (36.7)*	*287 (28.1)*
	Not Reported	1	- (-)	- (-)
		3	*- (-)*	*- (-)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applicants.

TABLE 4 - 73

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LENGTH OF UNIVERSITY EXPERIENCE - 1973

LAW SCHOOL		Length of University Experience in Years (percentage of total)								Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	More Than Five Years	Not Reported	
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.3	0.6	12.2	39.2	31.3	9.8	3.5	3.1	1158
	Offer Recipients	-	-	16.7	40.4	21.9	6.2	4.9	9.9	324
	Registrants	-	-	19.3	35.4	17.1	3.9	6.6	17.7	181
QUEEN'S	Applicants	0.3	0.5	12.0	37.5	34.1	10.4	5.4	0.1	1997
	Offer Recipients	-	0.3	4.6	35.4	41.6	8.9	9.2	-	370
	Registrants	-	0.7	4.3	35.0	39.3	10.0	10.7	-	140
TORONTO	Applicants	0.4	0.5	12.3	37.9	33.5	9.4	6.0	0.1	2234
	Offer Recipients	-	-	16.3	31.7	27.9	11.9	12.2	-	319
	Registrants	-	-	15.9	34.8	29.3	10.4	9.8	-	164
WESTERN	Applicants	0.8	0.7	14.3	40.0	32.0	8.0	4.0	0.3	1816
	Offer Recipients	1.1	0.5	16.5	36.0	31.1	8.9	5.7	0.2	437
	Registrants	2.3	0.6	19.9	30.4	31.0	9.4	5.8	0.6	171
WINDSOR	Applicants	0.1	0.6	12.2	41.5	33.1	8.0	4.0	0.6	1430
	Offer Recipients	-	0.8	15.6	42.6	28.9	6.6	5.5	-	512
	Registrants	-	1.0	13.5	40.9	33.7	4.8	6.3	-	208
YORK	Applicants	0.5	0.8	13.9	38.3	32.0	8.1	5.9	0.4	1891
	Offer Recipients	0.7	0.7	17.4	37.7	28.7	7.9	6.5	0.4	557
	Registrants	1.2	1.2	19.6	42.3	23.3	6.0	5.7	0.6	331
	Applicants	0.7	0.8	13.3	36.7	31.5	9.7	5.9	1.4	412[illegible]
	Offer Recipients	0.6	0.6	14.6	35.5	30.6	8.5	7.4	2.3	1554
	Registrants	0.7	0.7	16.2	37.4	27.9	7.0	7.1	2.9	1195

TABLE 4 - 74

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LENGTH OF UNIVERSITY EXPERIENCE - 1974

LAW SCHOOL		Length Of University Experience in Years (percentage of total)								TOTAL
		0	1	2	3	4	5	More Than Five Years	Not Reported	
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.7	0.4	9.2	47.7	29.5	6.5	5.2	0.7	1517
	Offer Recipients	0.3	0.3	10.1	50.7	23.7	4.2	2.4	0.3	337
	Registrants	1.1	0.6	21.6	52.8	19.9	1.7	2.3	-	176
QUEEN'S	Applicants	0.6	0.7	10.1	38.1	36.1	8.5	6.0	-	2057
	Offer Recipients	0.3	0.6	7.1	28.9	43.2	10.1	9.7	-	308
	Registrants	0.7	1.3	5.4	26.8	41.6	11.4	12.8	-	149
TORONTO	Applicants	1.5	1.2	12.0	35.3	32.8	9.7	7.4	0.1	2647
	Offer Recipients	0.3	0.6	15.1	22.5	38.8	11.7	11.1	-	325
	Registrants	0.6	1.3	13.8	23.1	38.8	10.6	11.9	-	160
WESTERN	Applicants	0.8	0.8	14.1	39.4	31.3	8.2	5.3	0.1	2252
	Offer Recipients	0.8	0.5	15.6	40.2	32.2	4.1	6.3	0.3	366
	Registrants	1.3	1.3	18.1	44.5	26.5	2.6	5.2	0.6	155
WINDSOR	Applicants	0.3	0.6	11.9	41.8	32.0	7.5	3.7	2.1	1803
	Offer Recipients	-	-	20.8	41.3	26.8	7.3	3.8	-	477
	Registrants	-	-	18.1	41.5	27.1	9.6	3.7	-	188
YORK	Applicants	1.9	0.9	13.3	37.9	30.4	9.4	6.0	0.2	2299
	Offer Recipients	1.7	0.6	19.0	33.5	30.8	7.9	6.4	0.2	517
	Registrants	2.2	1.0	21.3	35.0	25.8	7.3	7.0	0.3	314
	Applicants	1.9	1.0	11.7	36.9	31.4	9.0	6.9	1.2	4818
	Offer Recipients	1.0	0.7	15.5	35.8	31.5	8.1	7.3	0.1	1487
	Registrants	1.1	0.9	17.3	37.4	29.1	7.2	6.9	0.2	1142

TABLE 5 - 73

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY HIGHEST DEGREE OBTAINED - 1973

LAW SCHOOL		percentage of total						TOTAL
		NONE	BACHELOR'S	MASTER'S	DOCTORAL	DIPLOMA	NOT REPORTED	
OTTAWA	Applicants	15.9	77.4	5.9	0.5	-	0.3	1158
	Offer Recipients	17.9	74.4	6.5	1.2	-	-	324
	Registrants	19.9	72.4	6.6	1.1	-	-	181
QUEEN'S	Applicants	15.7	78.0	5.7	0.3	0.3	0.1	1997
	Offer Recipients	7.6	83.2	8.4	0.8	-	-	370
	Registrants	5.0	84.3	10.7	-	-	-	140
TORONTO	Applicants	16.8	74.6	7.6	0.7	0.2	0.1	2234
	Offer Recipients	21.6	61.4	15.0	1.9	-	-	319
	Registrants	22.0	63.4	12.8	1.8	-	-	164
WESTERN	Applicants	21.2	72.9	4.5	0.4	0.7	0.3	1816
	Offer Recipients	24.7	66.8	6.9	1.1	0,2	0.2	437
	Registrants	30.4	62.0	6.4	0.6	-	0.6	171
WINDSOR	Applicants	16.7	77.4	4.8	0.2	0.3	0.6	1430
	Offer Recipients	21.1	71.3	7.0	0.2	0.4	-	512
	Registrants	19.7	73.1	6.7	-	0.5	-	208
YORK	Applicants	18.5	73.2	6.9	0.7	0.2	0.4	1891
	Offer Recipients	22.4	68.4	7.2	1.3	0.4	0.4	557
	Registrants	24.8	69.2	4.8	0.3	0.3	0.6	331
	Applicants	18.6	72.7	6.9	0.8	0.3	0.7	4120
	Offer Recipients	19.4	70.8	8.3	1.0	0.2	0.2	1554
	Registrants	21.3	70.3	7.4	0.6	0.2	0.3	1195

TABLE 5 - 74

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY HIGHEST DEGREE OBTAINED - 1974

LAW SCHOOL		percentage of total						TOTAL
		NONE	BACHELOR'S	MASTER'S	DOCTORAL	DIPLOMA	NOT REPORTED	
OTTAWA	Applicants	13.7	79.0	5.8	0.4	0.1	1.0	1517
	Offer Recipients	22.0	73.9	3.3	0.3	-	0.6	337
	Registrants	26.1	71.0	1.7	0.6	-	0.6	176
QUEEN'S	Applicants	15.7	76.5	6.9	0.4	0.3	0.1	2057
	Offer Recipients	11.4	74.3	11.4	1.9	1.0	-	308
	Registrants	9.4	73.8	14.1	1.3	1.3	-	149
TORONTO	Applicants	19.2	70.2	9.0	0.8	0.6	0.2	2647
	Offer Recipients	18.5	63.4	13.8	4.0	0.3	-	325
	Registrants	18.1	62.5	15.0	4.4	-	-	160
WESTERN	Applicants	21.8	71.1	5.8	0.5	0.5	0.3	2252
	Offer Recipients	23.8	68.6	5.5	1.6	0.5	-	366
	Registrants	30.3	64.5	3.2	1.3	0.6	-	155
WINDSOR	Applicants	18.0	74.3	4.8	0.2	0.2	2.4	1803
	Offer Recipients	27.0	65.2	7.1	0.4	0.2	-	477
	Registrants	23.9	66.0	9.6	-	0.5	-	188
YORK	Applicants	20.1	71.0	7.7	0.6	0.4	0.2	2299
	Offer Recipients	24.4	65.0	9.3	1.2	-	0.2	517
	Registrants	27.4	61.8	9.6	1.0	-	0.3	314
	Applicants	19.7	70.1	7.5	0.7	0.6	1.4	4818
	Offer Recipients	21.7	67.4	8.9	1.3	0.4	0.3	1487
	Registrants	23.4	65.9	8.8	1.3	0.4	0.2	1142

TABLE 6

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

ALL APPLICANTS

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	59	11 (18.6)	9 (15.2)
	43	*10 (23.2)*	*9 (20.9)*
CARLETON	151	65 (43.0)	52 (34.4)
	194	*64 (33.0)*	*54 (27.8)*
GUELPH	86	31 (36.0)	29 (33.7)
	108	*30 (27.8)*	*28 (25.9)*
LAKEHEAD	29	11 (37.9)	9 (31.0)
	30	*5 (16.7)*	*5 (16.7)*
LAURENTIAN	45	12 (26.7)	12 (26.7)
	48	*9 (18.8)*	*9 (18.8)*
McMASTER	154	63 (40.9)	53 (34.4)
	193	*55 (28.5)*	*46 (23.8)*
OTTAWA	102	59 (57.8)	47 (46.1)
	127	*41 (32.3)*	*37 (29.1)*
QUEEN'S	239	116 (48.5)	84 (35.1)
	289	*115 (39.8)*	*93 (32.2)*
TORONTO	843	375 (44.5)	292 (34.6)
	1045	*397 (38.0)*	*319 (30.5)*
TRENT	40	17 (42.5)	16 (40.0)
	43	*14 (32.6)*	*10 (23.3)*
WATERLOO	135	58 (43.0)	46 (34.1)
	151	*54 (35.8)*	*45 (29.8)*
WESTERN	427	159 (37.2)	136 (31.9)
	486	*173 (35.6)*	*141 (29.0)*
WILFRID LAURIER	68	24 (35.3)	22 (32.4)
	63	*24 (38.1)*	*19 (30.2)*
WINDSOR	133	49 (36.8)	46 (34.6)
	146	*39 (26.7)*	*34 (23.3)*
YORK	469	178 (38.0)	147 (31.3)
	488	*116 (23.8)*	*95 (19.5)*
OTHER ONTARIO	10	4 (40.0)	4 (40.0)
	28	*5 (17.9)*	*4 (14.3)*
Total Ontario	2990	1232 (41.2)	1004 (33.6)
	3482	*1151 (33.1)*	*948 (27.2)*
OTHER CANADIAN	682	194 (28.4)	107 (15.7)
	829	*200 (24.1)*	*102 (12.3)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	401	116 (28.9)	73 (18.2)
	369	*119 (32.2)*	*77 (20.9)*
NOT REPORTED	19	3 (15.8)	3 (15.8)
	47	*2 (4.3)*	*2 (4.3)*
NONE	28	9 (32.1)	8 (28.6)
	91	*15 (16.5)*	*13 (14.3)*
Total	4120	1554 (37.7)	1195 (29.0)
	4818	*1487 (30.9)*	*1142 (23.7)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 7 -73

INTERUNIVERSITY TRANSFER OF LAW SCHOOL APPLICANTS
FROM ONTARIO UNIVERSITIES - 1973

A.	Applicants to a law school FROM an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	767
i)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	38
ii)	% of i/A	5.0%
iii)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITH a law school	68
iv)	% of iii/A	8.9%
B.	Applicants to a law school FROM an Ontario university WITH a law school	2213
i)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	116
ii)	% of i/B	5.2%
iii)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITH a law school	134
iv)	% of iii/B	6.1%

TABLE 7 - 74

INTERUNIVERSITY TRANSFER OF LAW SCHOOL APPLICANTS
FROM ONTARIO UNIVERSITIES - 1974

A.	Applicants to a law school FROM an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	873
i)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	53
ii)	% of i/A	6.1%
iii)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITH a law school	79
iv)	% of iii/A	9.1%
B.	Applicants to a law school FROM an Ontario university WITH a law school	2581
i)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITHOUT a law school	143
ii)	% of i/B	5.5%
iii)	Having previously attended an Ontario university WITH a law school	172
iv)	% of iii/B	6.7%

TABLE 8A

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

OTTAWA

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	19	4 (21.1)	3 (15.8)
	14	*2 (14.3)*	*1 (7.1)*
CARLETON	111	49 (44.1)	36 (32.4)
	141	*47 (33.3)*	*37 (26.2)*
GUELPH	31	2 (6.5)	1 (3.2)
	47	*8 (17.0)*	- (-)
LAKEHEAD	11	3 (27.3)	2 (18.2)
	10	- (-)	- (-)
LAURENTIAN	25	2 (8.0)	- (-)
	19	*1 (5.3)*	*1 (5.3)*
McMASTER	52	9 (17.3)	4 (7.7)
	54	*3 (5.6)*	- (-)
OTTAWA	89	58 (65.2)	46 (51.7)
	106	*37 (34.9)*	*32 (30.2)*
QUEEN'S	44	13 (29.5)	5 (11.4)
	74	*14 (18.9)*	*6 (8.1)*
TORONTO	179	58 (32.4)	28 (15.6)
	287	*74 (25.8)*	*30 (10.5)*
TRENT	20	3 (15.0)	2 (10.0)
	16	*5 (31.3)*	*4 (25.0)*
WATERLOO	31	12 (38.7)	5 (16.1)
	50	*18 (36.0)*	*7 (14.0)*
WESTERN	130	36 (27.7)	18 (13.8)
	169	*55 (32.5)*	*27 (16.0)*
WILFRID LAURIER	15	4 (26.7)	1 (6.7)
	18	*2 (11.1)*	*2 (11.1)*
WINDSOR	35	10 (28.6)	4 (11.4)
	34	*6 (17.6)*	*4 (11.8)*
YORK	96	24 (25.0)	9 (9.4)
	142	*20 (14.1)*	*7 (4.9)*
OTHER ONTARIO	3	- (-)	- (-)
	9	*1 (11.1)*	*1 (11.1)*
Total Ontario	891	287 (32.2)	164 (18.4)
	1190	*293 (24.6)*	*159 (13.4)*
OTHER CANADIAN	197	27 (13.7)	11 (5.6)
	256	*35 (13.7)*	*10 (3.9)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	64	10 (15.6)	6 (9.4)
	55	*7 (12.7)*	*5 (9.1)*
NOT REPORTED	2	- (-)	- (-)
	4	- (-)	- (-)
NONE	4	- (-)	- (-)
	12	*2 (16.7)*	*2 (16.7)*
Total	1158	324 (28.0)	181 (15.6)
	1517	*337 (22.2)*	*176 (11.6)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 8B

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

QUEEN'S

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	33	2 (6.1)	2 (6.1)
	23	*3 (13.0)*	*1 (4.3)*
CARLETON	69	11 (15.9)	8 (11.6)
	84	*12 (14.3)*	*6 (7.1)*
GUELPH	54	3 (5.6)	3 (5.6)
	45	*6 (13.3)*	*1 (2.2)*
LAKEHEAD	18	1 (5.6)	- (-)
	14	*3 (21.4)*	*1 (7.1)*
LAURENTIAN	22	1 (4.5)	1 (4.5)
	24	- (-)	- (-)
McMASTER	81	14 (17.3)	3 (3.7)
	90	*6 (6.7)*	*2 (2.2)*
OTTAWA	19	2 (10.5)	1 (5.3)
	35	- (-)	- (-)
QUEEN'S	200	85 (42.5)	51 (25.5)
	245	*76 (31.0)*	*47 (19.2)*
TORONTO	425	110 (25.9)	34 (8.0)
	464	*81 (17.5)*	*44 (9.5)*
TRENT	29	4 (13.8)	3 (10.3)
	20	*3 (15.0)*	*2 (10.0)*
WATERLOO	68	5 (7.4)	- (-)
	71	*6 (8.5)*	*5 (7.0)*
WESTERN	203	19 (9.4)	5 (2.5)
	205	*18 (8.8)*	*9 (4.4)*
WILFRID LAURIER	25	2 (8.0)	- (-)
	29	*3 (10.3)*	- (-)
WINDSOR	33	3 (9.1)	1 (3.0)
	36	- (-)	- (-)
YORK	220	10 (4.5)	1 (0.5)
	196	*7 (3.6)*	*1 (0.5)*
OTHER ONTARIO	5	3 (60.0)	3 (60.0)
	12	*3 (25.0)*	*2 (16.7)*
Total Ontario	1504	275 (18.3)	116 (7.7)
	1593	*227 (14.2)*	*121 (7.6)*
OTHER CANADIAN	365	80 (21.9)	19 (5.2)
	356	*62 (17.4)*	*22 (6.2)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	123	15 (12.2)	5 (4.1)
	96	*18 (18.8)*	*5 (5.2)*
NOT REPORTED	-	- (-)	- (-)
	-	- (-)	- (-)
NONE	5	- (-)	- (-)
	12	*1 (8.3)*	*1 (8.3)*
Total	1997	370 (18.5)	140 (7.0)
	2057	*308 (15.0)*	*149 (7.2)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 8C

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	TORONTO		
	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	34	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)
	26	*1 (3.8)*	- (-)
CARLETON	55	8 (14.5)	5 (9.1)
	76	*5 (6.6)*	- (-)
GUELPH	52	1 (1.9)	- (-)
	73	*2 (2.7)*	*1 (1.4)*
LAKEHEAD	15	3 (20.0)	1 (6.7)
	13	*2 (15.4)*	*1 (7.7)*
LAURENTIAN	24	2 (8.3)	1 (4.2)
	31	*2 (6.5)*	*2 (6.5)*
McMASTER	110	26 (23.6)	14 (12.7)
	128	*14 (10.9)*	*10 (7.8)*
OTTAWA	11	- (-)	- (-)
	36	*3 (8.3)*	*1 (2.8)*
QUEEN'S	79	16 (20.3)	6 (7.6)
	116	*22 (19.0)*	*15 (12.9)*
TORONTO	633	111 (17.5)	74 (11.7)
	777	*125 (16.1)*	*75 (9.7)*
TRENT	20	5 (25.0)	3 (15.0)
	28	*3 (10.7)*	*2 (7.1)*
WATERLOO	75	9 (12.0)	3 (4.0)
	103	*11 (10.7)*	*3 (2.9)*
WESTERN	185	24 (13.0)	8 (4.3)
	193	*12 (6.2)*	*4 (2.1)*
WILFRID LAURIER	28	3 (10.7)	- (-)
	35	*6 (17.1)*	*1 (2.9)*
WINDSOR	44	5 (11.4)	2 (4.5)
	44	*1 (2.3)*	- (-)
YORK	256	16 (6.3)	7 (2.7)
	246	*15 (6.1)*	*5 (2.0)*
OTHER ONTARIO	5	- (-)	- (-)
	12	*- (-)*	*- (-)*
Total Ontario	1626	230 (14.1)	125 (7.7)
	1937	*224 (11.6)*	*120 (6.2)*
OTHER CANADIAN	343	48 (14.0)	15 (4.4)
	424	*45 (10.6)*	*9 (2.1)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	256	41 (16.0)	24 (9.4)
	245	*55 (22.4)*	*30 (12.2)*
NOT REPORTED	-	- (-)	- (-)
	-	*- (-)*	*- (-)*
NONE	9	- (-)	- (-)
	41	*1 (2.4)*	*1 (2.4)*
Total	2234	319 (14.3)	164 (7.3)
	2647	*325 (12.3)*	*160 (6.0)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 8D

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + 1974

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

WESTERN

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	37	2 (5.4)	1 (2.7)
	29	*4 (13.8)*	*2 (6.9)*
CARLETON	38	6 (15.8)	1 (2.6)
	65	*3 (4.6)*	- (-)
GUELPH	52	12 (23.1)	6 (11.5)
	79	*15 (19.0)*	*9 (11.4)*
LAKEHEAD	20	6 (30.0)	1 (5.0)
	11	*2 (18.2)*	*1 (9.1)*
LAURENTIAN	27	6 (22.2)	3 (11.1)
	26	*4 (15.4)*	*2 (7.7)*
McMASTER	99	28 (28.3)	6 (6.1)
	132	*22 (16.7)*	*7 (5.3)*
OTTAWA	9	- (-)	- (-)
	25	*3 (12.0)*	- (-)
QUEEN'S	65	22 (33.8)	4 (6.2)
	107	*21 (19.6)*	*4 (3.7)*
TORONTO	381	92 (24.1)	23 (6.0)
	521	*90 (17.3)*	*28 (5.4)*
TRENT	27	13 (48.1)	6 (22.2)
	17	*3 (17.6)*	- (-)
WATERLOO	80	26 (32.5)	12 (15.0)
	91	*23 (25.3)*	*10 (11.0)*
WESTERN	330	85 (25.8)	63 (19.1)
	409	*86 (21.0)*	*59 (14.4)*
WILFRID LAURIER	46	12 (26.1)	6 (13.0)
	38	*12 (31.6)*	*5 (13.2)*
WINDSOR	44	7 (15.9)	2 (4.5)
	66	*4 (6.1)*	- (-)
YORK	232	45 (19.4)	10 (4.3)
	249	*16 (6.4)*	*6 (2.4)*
OTHER ONTARIO	5	- (-)	- (-)
	11	*1 (9.1)*	- (-)
Total Ontario	1492	362 (24.3)	144 (9.7)
	1876	*309 (16.5)*	*133 (7.1)*
OTHER CANADIAN	224	45 (20.1)	12 (5.4)
	267	*33 (12.6)*	*8 (3.1)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	83	24 (28.9)	10 (12.0)
	94	*20 (21.3)*	*11 (11.7)*
NOT REPORTED	3	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
	2	*1 (50.0)*	*1 (50.0)*
NONE	14	5 (35.7)	4 (28.6)
	18	*3 (16.7)*	*2 (11.1)*
Total	1816	437 (24.1)	171 (9.4)
	2252	*366 (16.3)*	*155 (6.9)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 3E

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + 1974

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

WINDSOR

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	30	5 (16.7)	2 (6.7)
	26	*5 (19.2)*	*2 (7.7)*
CARLETON	39	11 (28.2)	1 (2.6)
	49	*12 (24.5)*	*3 (6.1)*
GUELPH	46	22 (47.8)	15 (32.6)
	63	*18 (28.6)*	*10 (15.9)*
LAKEHEAD	21	7 (33.3)	2 (9.5)
	14	*2 (14.3)*	*1 (7.1)*
LAURENTIAN	29	9 (31.0)	4 (13.8)
	32	*6 (18.8)*	*2 (6.3)*
McMASTER	67	30 (44.8)	10 (14.9)
	97	*27 (27.8)*	*11 (11.3)*
OTTAWA	6	2 (33.3)	- (-)
	18	*1 (5.5)*	- (-)
QUEEN'S	39	20 (51.3)	8 (20.5)
	74	*27 (36.5)*	*10 (13.5)*
TORONTO	249	101 (40.6)	37 (14.9)
	408	*124 (30.4)*	*45 (11.0)*
TRENT	11	2 (18.2)	- (-)
	16	*5 (31.3)*	*1 (6.3)*
WATERLOO	65	32 (49.2)	9 (13.8)
	66	*21 (31.8)*	*7 (10.6)*
WESTERN	210	72 (34.3)	31 (14.8)
	236	*85 (36.0)*	*25 (10.6)*
WILFRID LAURIER	30	12 (40.0)	7 (23.3)
	38	*15 (39.5)*	*5 (13.2)*
WINDSOR	105	40 (38.1)	33 (31.4)
	116	*35 (30.2)*	*27 (23.3)*
YORK	194	82 (42.3)	31 (16.0)
	229	*54 (23.6)*	*26 (11.4)*
OTHER ONTARIO	4	- (-)	- (-)
	6	*1 (16.7)*	*1 (16.7)*
Total Ontario	1145	447 (39.0)	190 (16.6)
	1488	*438 (29.4)*	*176 (11.8)*
OTHER CANADIAN	200	44 (22.0)	8 (4.0)
	206	*21 (10.2)*	*5 (2.4)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	77	21 (27.3)	10 (13.0)
	67	*18 (26.9)*	*7 (10.4)*
NOT REPORTED	7	- (-)	- (-)
	37	- (-)	- (-)
NONE	1	- (-)	- (-)
	5	- (-)	- (-)
Total	1430	512 (35.8)	208 (14.5)
	1803	*477 (26.5)*	*188 (10.4)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 8F

APPLICATIONS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS
BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

YORK

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	APPLICATIONS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
BROCK	21	- (-)	- (-)
	28	*4 (14.3)*	*3 (10.7)*
CARLETON	36	7 (19.4)	1 (2.9)
	50	*11 (22.0)*	*8 (16.0)*
GUELPH	44	7 (15.9)	4 (9.1)
	62	*8 (12.9)*	*7 (11.3)*
LAKEHEAD	10	5 (50.0)	3 (30.0)
	9	*1 (11.1)*	*1 (11.1)*
LAURENTIAN	26	3 (11.5)	3 (11.5)
	27	*4 (14.8)*	*2 (7.4)*
McMASTER	95	27 (28.4)	16 (16.8)
	120	*27 (22.5)*	*16 (13.3)*
OTTAWA	8	- (-)	- (-)
	21	*6 (28.6)*	*4 (19.0)*
QUEEN'S	61	21 (34.4)	10 (16.4)
	95	*22 (23.2)*	*11 (11.6)*
TORONTO	539	174 (32.3)	96 (17.8)
	716	*176 (24.6)*	*97 (13.5)*
TRENT	19	7 (36.8)	2 (10.5)
	15	*2 (13.3)*	*1 (6.7)*
WATERLOO	74	23 (31.1)	17 (23.0)
	88	*19 (21.6)*	*13 (14.8)*
WESTERN	121	25 (20.7)	11 (9.1)
	148	*29 (19.6)*	*17 (11.5)*
WILFRID LAURIER	46	11 (23.9)	5 (10.9)
	26	*8 (30.8)*	*6 (23.1)*
WINDSOR	26	7 (26.9)	4 (15.4)
	29	*5 (17.2)*	*3 (10.3)*
YORK	369	103 (27.9)	92 (24.9)
	391	*61 (15.6)*	*50 (12.8)*
OTHER ONTARIO	2	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)
	7	- (-)	- (-)
Total Ontario	1497	421 (28.1)	265 (17.7)
	1832	*383 (20.9)*	*239 (13.0)*
OTHER CANADIAN	238	77 (32.4)	42 (17.6)
	281	*83 (29.5)*	*48 (17.1)*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	138	53 (38.4)	18 (13.0)
	139	*41 (29.5)*	*19 (13.7)*
NOT REPORTED	8	2 (25.0)	2 (25.0)
	4	*1 (25.0)*	*1 (25.0)*
NONE	10	4 (40.0)	4 (40.0)
	43	*9 (20.9)*	*7 (16.3)*
Total	1891	557 (29.5)	331 (17.5)
	2299	*517 (22.5)*	*314 (13.7)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applications.

TABLE 9

OFFER RECIPIENTS WHO DID NOT REGISTER BY UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	NUMBER OF APPLICANTS	NUMBER OF OFFER RECIPIENTS (A)	NUMBER OF OFFER RECIPIENTS WHO DIDN'T REGISTER (B)	% OF B/A
BROCK	59	11	2	18.2
	43	*10*	*1*	*10.0*
CARLETON	151	65	13	20.0
	194	*64*	*10*	*15.6*
GUELPH	86	31	2	6.5
	108	*30*	*2*	*6.7*
LAKEHEAD	29	11	2	18.2
	39	*5*	-	-
LAURENTIAN	45	12	-	-
	48	*9*	-	-
McMASTER	154	63	10	15.9
	193	*55*	*9*	*16.4*
OTTAWA	102	59	12	20.3
	127	*41*	*4*	*9.8*
QUEEN'S	239	116	32	27.6
	289	*115*	*22*	*19.1*
TORONTO	843	375	83	22.1
	1045	*397*	*78*	*19.6*
TRENT	40	17	1	5.9
	43	*14*	*4*	*28.6*
WATERLOO	135	58	12	20.7
	151	*54*	*9*	*16.7*
WESTERN	427	159	23	14.5
	486	*173*	*32*	*18.5*
WILFRID LAURIER	68	24	2	8.3
	63	*24*	*5*	*20.8*
WINDSOR	133	49	3	6.1
	146	*39*	*5*	*12.8*
YORK	469	178	31	17.4
	488	*116*	*21*	*18.1*
OTHER ONTARIO	10	4	-	-
	28	*5*	*1*	*20.0*
Total Ontario	2990	1232	228	18.5
	3482	*1151*	*203*	*17.6*
OTHER CANADIAN	682	194	87	44.8
	829	*200*	*98*	*49.0*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	401	116	43	37.1
	369	*119*	*42*	*35.2*
NOT REPORTED	19	3	-	-
	47	*2*	-	-
NONE	28	9	1	11.1
	91	*15*	*2*	*13.3*
Total	4120	1554	359	23.1
	4818	*1487*	*345*	*23.2*

TABLE 10

APPLICANTS BY DATE OF LAST ATTENDANCE AT UNIVERSITY
AND HIGHEST DEGREE OBTAINED - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

HIGHEST DEGREE OBTAINED	Date of Last Attendance at University (number of applicants as percentage of total)					TOTAL
	NEVER ATTENDED UNIVERSITY	YEAR OF APPLICATION	YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	MORE THAN 1 YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	NOT REPORTED	
NONE	3.6	87.8	5.5	3.0	0.1	768
	9.6	*81.4*	*3.8*	*5.0*	*0.2*	*951*
BACHELOR'S	-	69.4	15.7	14.8	0.1	2994
	-	*66.7*	*14.0*	*19.2*	*0.1*	*3378*
MASTER'S	-	61.5	18.0	20.1	0.4	283
	-	*51.0*	*17.5*	*31.2*	*0.3*	*359*
DOCTORAL	-	31.3	25.0	43.8	-	32
	-	*27.3*	*18.2*	*51.5*	*3.0*	*33*
DIPLOMA	-	14.3	28.6	57.1	-	14
	-	*21.4*	*17.9*	*57.1*	*3.6*	*28*
NOT REPORTED	-	17.3	6.9	10.3	65.5	29
	-	*8.6*	-	*21.2*	*68.1*	*69*
	0.7	71.4	14.0	13.3	0.6	4120
	1.9	*67.1*	*12.1*	*17.8*	*1.1*	*4818*

TABLE 11 - 73

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS BY DATE OF LAST ATTENDANCE AT UNIVERSITY - 1973

LAW SCHOOL		Date of Last Attendance at University (percentage of total)					
		NEVER ATTENDED UNIVERSITY	YEAR OF APPLICATION	YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	MORE THAN 1 YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	NOT REPORTED	TOTAL
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.3	73.6	13.3	12.3	0.4	1158
	Offer Recipients	-	76.9	12.3	10.5	0.3	324
	Registrants	-	72.9	13.3	13.3	0.6	181
QUEEN'S	Applicants	0.3	76.4	13.1	10.2	0.1	1997
	Offer Recipients	-	74.1	16.2	9.7	-	370
	Registrants	-	70.7	17.9	11.4	-	140
TORONTO	Applicants	0.4	73.9	13.2	12.5	-	2234
	Offer Recipients	-	74.6	13.5	11.9	-	319
	Registrants	-	73.2	14.0	12.8	-	164
WESTERN	Applicants	0.8	79.4	11.0	8.7	0.2	1816
	Offer Recipients	1.1	75.7	14.0	8.9	0.2	437
	Registrants	2.3	69.6	16.4	11.1	0.6	171
WINDSOR	Applicants	0.1	78.4	11.9	9.2	0.5	1430
	Offer Recipients	-	80.3	10.5	9.2	-	512
	Registrants	-	73.1	11.5	15.4	-	208
YORK	Applicants	0.5	73.7	12.5	12.9	0.4	1891
	Offer Recipients	0.7	77.2	10.8	11.0	0.4	557
	Registrants	1.2	77.3	9.4	11.5	0.6	331
	Applicants	0.7	71.4	14.0	13.3	0.6	4120
	Offer Recipients	0.6	73.6	13.4	12.2	0.3	1554
	Registrants	0.7	73.5	13.0	12.6	0.3	1195

TABLE 11 - 74

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS BY DATE OF LAST ATTENDANCE AT UNIVERSITY - 1974

Date of Last Attendance at University
(percentage of total)

LAW SCHOOL		NEVER ATTENDED UNIVERSITY	YEAR OF APPLICATION	YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	MORE THAN 1 YEAR PREVIOUS TO APPLICATION	NOT REPORTED	TOTAL
OTTAWA	Applicants	0.8	72.3	11.6	14.9	0.4	1517
	Offer Recipients	0.6	79.2	7.7	12.5	-	337
	Registrants	1.1	77.3	8.0	13.6	-	176
QUEEN'S	Applicants	0.6	72.3	11.7	15.5	-	2057
	Offer Recipients	0.3	64.9	13.3	21.4	-	308
	Registrants	0.7	63.1	13.4	22.8	-	149
TORONTO	Applicants	1.5	70.2	11.6	16.7	0.1	2647
	Offer Recipients	0.3	68.9	12.3	18.5	-	325
	Registrants	0.6	61.9	13.1	24.4	-	160
WESTERN	Applicants	0.8	75.1	11.3	12.6	0.2	2252
	Offer Recipients	0.8	73.5	13.4	12.0	0.3	366
	Registrants	1.3	71.6	12.3	14.2	0.6	155
WINDSOR	Applicants	0.3	73.9	11.9	11.8	2.2	1803
	Offer Recipients	-	80.5	10.5	9.0	-	477
	Registrants	-	78.2	11.2	10.6	-	188
YORK	Applicants	1.9	70.8	11.7	15.5	0.2	2299
	Offer Recipients	1.7	72.3	11.0	14.7	0.2	517
	Registrants	2.2	72.6	10.2	14.6	0.3	314
	Applicants	1.9	67.1	12.1	17.8	1.1	4818
	Offer Recipients	1.0	70.7	12.0	16.2	0.1	1487
	Registrants	1.1	71.4	11.1	16.2	0.2	1142

TABLE 12

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS

BY NUMBER OF SCHOOLS APPLIED TO - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

# OF SCHOOLS APPLIED TO	APPLICANTS	OFFER RECIPIENTS	REGISTRANTS
1	1520 *1628*	437 (28.8) *366 (22.5)*	322 (21.2) *238 (14.6)*
2	778 *1034*	278 (35.7) *329 (31.8)*	189 (24.3) *249 (24.1)*
3	640 *783*	258 (40.3) *255 (32.6)*	204 (31.9) *194 (24.8)*
4	586 *627*	290 (49.5) *237 (37.8)*	235 (40.1) *204 (32.5)*
5	390 *454*	184 (47.2) *175 (38.5)*	155 (39.7) *144 (31.7)*
6	206 *292*	107 (51.9) *125 (42.8)*	90 (43.7) *113 (38.7)*
	4120 *4818*	1554 (37.7) *1487 (30.9)*	1195 (29.0) *1142 (23.7)*

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are percentages of applicants.

TABLE 13 - 73

ALL APPLICANTS BY COMBINATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED - 1973

APPLICANTS TO ONE SCHOOL		APPLICANTS TO TWO SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO THREE SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO FOUR SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO FIVE SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO SIX SCHOOLS	
O	307	OQ	34	OQT	26	OQTA	32	OQTAW	76	OQTAWY	206
Q	276	OT	14	OQA	21	OQTW	25	OQTAY	54		
T	315	OA	14	OQW	29	OQTY	20	OQTWY	21		
A	178	OW	35	OQY	12	OQAW	48	OTAWY	23		
W	155	OY	15	OTA	9	OQAY	9	OQAWY	34		
Y	289	QT	87	OTW	14	OQWY	10	QTAWY	182		
TOTAL	1520	QA	56	OTY	11	OTAW	10	TOTAL	390		
		QW	31	OAW	17	OTAY	11				
		QY	28	OAY	2	OTWY	6				
		TA	46	OWY	4	OAWY	9				
		TW	23	QTA	84	QTAW	78				
		TY	30	QTW	29	QTAY	202				
		AW	56	QTY	102	QTWY	27				
		AY	21	QAW	61	QAWY	50				
		WY	15	QAY	34	TAWY	49				
		TOTAL	778	QWY	13	TOTAL	586				
				TAW	43						
				TAY	78						
				TWY	28						
				AWY	23						
				TOTAL	640						

O - OTTAWA
Q - QUEEN'S
T - TORONTO
A - WESTERN
W - WINDSOR
Y - YORK

TABLE 13 - 74

ALL APPLICANTS BY COMBINATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED - 1974

APPLICANTS TO ONE SCHOOL		APPLICANTS TO TWO SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO THREE SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO FOUR SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO FIVE SCHOOLS		APPLICANTS TO SIX SCHOOLS	
O	310	OQ	48	OQT	20	OQTA	25	OQTAW	91	OQTAWY	292
Q	254	OT	26	OQA	23	OQTW	16	OQTAY	60		
T	390	OA	25	OQW	32	OQTY	31	OQTWY	14		
A	222	OW	33	OQY	7	OQAW	95	OTAWY	57		
W	175	OY	17	OTA	19	OQAY	11	OQAWY	71		
Y	277	QT	74	OTW	12	OQWY	13	QTAWY	161		
TOTAL	1628	QA	59	OTY	21	OTAW	26	TOTAL	454		
		QW	39	OAW	48	OTAY	30				
		QY	36	OAY	4	OTWY	10				
		TA	62	OWY	12	OAWY	18				
		TW	40	QTA	73	QTAW	53				
		TY	436	QTW	24	QTAY	138				
		AW	81	QTY	99	QTWY	42				
		AY	39	QAW	73	QAWY	36				
		WY	19	QAY	34	TAWY	83				
		TOTAL	1034	QWY	13	TOTAL	627				
				TAW	51						
				TAY	145						
				TWY	26						
				AWY	47						
				TOTAL	783						

O - OTTAWA
Q - QUEEN'S
T - TORONTO
A - WESTERN
W - WINDSOR
Y - YORK

TABLE 14

NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY UNIVERSITY OF LAST ATTENDANCE - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

UNIVERSITY LAST ATTENDED	% of Applicants Submitting "n" Applications						TOTAL NUMBER OF APPLICANTS
	n = 1	2	3	4	5	6	
BROCK	27.1	16.9	15.3	20.3	15.3	5.1	59
	11.6	*20.9*	*23.2*	*20.9*	*7.0*	*16.3*	*43*
CARLETON	45.7	17.2	11.9	14.6	7.3	3.3	151
	41.8	*20.1*	*11.3*	*15.5*	*6.2*	*5.2*	*194*
GUELPH	20.9	11.6	25.6	17.4	12.8	11.6	86
	17.6	*13.0*	*23.1*	*17.6*	*13.9*	*14.8*	*108*
LAKEHEAD	17.2	13.8	20.7	27.6	13.8	6.9	29
	46.7	*10.0*	*16.7*	*16.7*	*6.7*	*3.3*	*30*
LAURENTIAN	26.7	13.3	11.1	6.7	26.7	15.6	45
	27.1	*12.5*	*14.6*	*10.4*	*18.8*	*16.7*	*48*
McMASTER	20.9	14.3	20.8	16.2	16.9	11.0	154
	15.5	*18.7*	*23.3*	*23.8*	*8.3*	*10.4*	*193*
OTTAWA	79.4	10.8	3.9	2.9	2.9	-	102
	60.6	*13.4*	*11.8*	*6.3*	*5.5*	*2.4*	*127*
QUEEN'S	53.1	15.1	14.6	10.5	5.0	1.7	239
	40.8	*15.2*	*18.0*	*14.2*	*6.6*	*5.2*	*289*
TORONTO	26.0	23.1	15.8	16.7	11.4	7.0	843
	20.4	*25.6*	*15.8*	*14.9*	*14.6*	*8.6*	*1045*
TRENT	10.0	25.0	30.0	15.0	15.0	5.0	40
	32.6	*16.3*	*18.6*	*25.6*	*4.7*	*2.3*	*43*
WATERLOO	22.2	17.0	26.7	20.0	9.6	4.4	135
	21.2	*17.9*	*22.5*	*13.2*	*17.9*	*7.3*	*151*
WESTERN	34.4	13.6	16.6	17.8	11.9	5.6	427
	29.4	*16.7*	*22.4*	*13.8*	*11.5*	*6.2*	*486*
WILFRID LAURIER	29.4	13.2	25.0	19.1	7.4	5.9	68
	23.8	*15.9*	*25.4*	*19.0*	*11.1*	*4.8*	*63*
WINDSOR	47.4	16.5	17.3	12.8	3.8	2.3	133
	45.2	*15.1*	*20.5*	*11.6*	*6.2*	*1.4*	*146*
YORK	27.5	19.6	13.6	18.6	14.5	6.2	469
	25.2	*21.7*	*15.4*	*16.2*	*10.9*	*10.7*	*488*
OTHER ONTARIO	30.0	40.0	10.0	-	20.0	-	10
	42.9	*32.1*	*10.7*	*7.1*	*7.1*	-	*28*
Total Ontario	32.6	18.0	16.3	16.1	11.2	5.9	2990
	28.0	*20.0*	*17.8*	*15.1*	*11.3*	*7.7*	*3482*
OTHER CANADIAN	42.4	20.7	15.0	12.2	6.5	3.4	682
	41.6	*26.8*	*15.3*	*9.2*	*5.2*	*1.9*	*829*
OTHER NON-CANADIAN	54.9	22.9	11.7	5.5	3.0	2.0	401
	53.9	*24.9*	*8.1*	*6.2*	*5.1*	*1.6*	*369*
NOT REPORTED	94.7	5.3	-	-	-	-	19
	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	*47*
NONE	64.3	21.4	10.7	3.6	-	-	28
	68.1	*24.2*	*5.5*	*1.1*	-	*1.1*	*91*
Total	36.9	18.9	15.5	14.2	9.5	5.0	4120
	33.8	*21.5*	*16.3*	*13.0*	*9.4*	*6.1*	*4818*

TABLE 15

NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY SEX - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

SEX	% of Applicants Submitting "n" Applications						TOTAL NUMBER OF APPLICANTS
	n = 1	2	3	4	5	6	
MALE	35.5 *32.7*	18.0 *21.1*	15.8 *16.8*	14.9 *13.3*	10.2 *9.9*	5.4 *6.2*	3368 *3792*
FEMALE	42.9 *37.7*	22.8 *22.8*	14.2 *14.4*	11.1 *11.8*	6.0 *7.8*	3.1 *5.5*	751 *1023*
NOT REPORTED	100.0 *100.0*	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *3*
	36.9 *33.8*	18.9 *21.5*	15.5 *16.3*	14.2 *13.0*	9.5 *9.4*	5.0 *6.1*	4120 *4818*

TABLE 16 - 73

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS

BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND NUMBER OF PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED - 1973

LAW SCHOOL		Number of Previous Applications Submitted					
		NONE	ONE	TWO	MORE THAN TWO	NOT REPORTED	TOTAL
OTTAWA	Applicants	1093	59	3	1	2	1158
	Offer Recipients	305	18	-	-	1	324
	Registrants	167	14	-	-	-	181
QUEEN'S	Applicants	1749	235	11	2	-	1997
	Offer Recipients	325	43	2	-	-	370
	Registrants	111	27	2	-	-	140
TORONTO	Applicants	2010	207	16	1	-	2234
	Offer Recipients	292	27	-	-	-	319
	Registrants	146	18	-	-	-	164
WESTERN	Applicants	1604	204	8	-	-	1816
	Offer Recipients	389	46	2	-	-	437
	Registrants	145	24	2	-	-	171
WINDSOR	Applicants	1284	119	5	1	21	1430
	Offer Recipients	474	32	3	1	2	512
	Registrants	187	18	3	-	-	208
YORK	Applicants	1702	181	7	-	1	1891
	Offer Recipients	521	35	1	-	-	557
	Registrants	314	17	-	-	-	331

TABLE 16 - 74

APPLICANTS, OFFER RECIPIENTS AND REGISTRANTS

BY LAW SCHOOL APPLIED TO AND NUMBER OF PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED - 1974

LAW SCHOOL		Number of Previous Applications Submitted					TOTAL
		NONE	ONE	TWO	MORE THAN TWO	NOT REPORTED	
OTTAWA	Applicants	1435	77	4	-	1	1517
	Offer Recipients	325	12	-	-	-	337
	Registrants	170	6	-	-	-	176
QUEEN'S	Applicants	1735	270	40	10	2	2057
	Offer Recipients	283	20	3	2	-	308
	Registrants	135	11	3	-	-	149
TORONTO	Applicants	2311	292	40	4	-	2647
	Offer Recipients	287	37	1	-	-	325
	Registrants	136	24	-	-	-	160
WESTERN	Applicants	1966	264	21	-	1	2252
	Offer Recipients	336	30	-	-	-	366
	Registrants	138	17	-	-	-	155
WINDSOR	Applicants	1624	173	6	-	-	1803
	Offer Recipients	452	23	2	-	-	477
	Registrants	177	10	1	-	-	188
YORK	Applicants	1969	269	41	9	11	2299
	Offer Recipients	472	38	5	-	2	517
	Registrants	284	24	4	-	2	314

TABLE 17

RESPONSE OF APPLICANTS TO OFFERS OF ADMISSION - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

	1973	*1974*
Accepted and Registered	1195	*1142*
Accepted and Did Not Register	273	*239*
Accepted and Subsequently Cancelled	455	*502*
Did Not Accept	384	*274*
Did Not Respond	201	*173*
Response Not Recorded	236	*6*

TABLE 18

BASIS FOR ADMISSION OF THE FIRST YEAR CLASS - 1973 + *1974*

(numbers in italics are 1974 figures)

LAW SCHOOL	NORMAL CRITERIA	MATURE STUDENT	NATIVE PERSON	TOTAL
OTTAWA	178	3	-	181
	168	*8*	-	*176*
QUEEN'S	133	6	1	140
	134	*12*	*3*	*149*
TORONTO	164	-	-	164
	151	*9*	-	*160*
WESTERN	164	3	4	171
	149	*4*	*2*	*155*
WINDSOR	205	3	-	208
	187	*1*	-	*188*
YORK	297	34	-	331
	304	*9*	*1*	*314*
	1141	49	5	1195
	1093	*43*	*6*	*1142*

APPENDIX 1

Summary of data elements collected during the course of the study

Each of Ontario's six law schools was asked to provide the following information on every individual who made application to the school in 1973 or 1974:

Applicant identifier - The first two initials and first three letters of the last name of each applicant were used as the primary identifier in the matching of applications from the several schools.

Birthdate - Applicant birthdates were reported in the form day, month, year and were used as a secondary identifier and for calculating the age of applicants.

Sex - The sex of the applicant was indicated by a single digit code.

Citizenship - The citizenship reported by applicants at the time of application was reported for this study in one of the following classifications: Canada, USA, UK, Europe, Asia, Africa, Other. Several schools were not able to provide citizenship data.

Visa status - The visa status of each applicant at the time of application was reported as one of: Canadian citizen, landed immigrant, other. Only three of the six law schools were able to respond to this element.

Total length of university experience - The number of years of full-time university education beyond Grade 13 which the applicant would have completed by September of the year in which registration in law school was sought was reported as the appropriate single digit with code 6 corresponding to more than five years of full-time university education. Part-time and non-standard experience was converted to the equivalent Ontario full-time level of study.

Last degree obtained - The most recent degree obtained by the applicant was reported as one of: none, undergraduate, master's, doctoral, university diploma.

University of last attendance - The most recent university attended by the applicant was indicated using a two digit code corresponding to one of the fifteen Ontario universities or to one of the following groups of institutions: other Ontario, other Canadian, other non-Canadian. A code was also provided in this and other similar elements to identify those applicants who had never attended university.

Length of attendance at university of last attendance - The number of years the applicant had attended the university of last attendance was reported. More than four years was reported using code 5 and attendance at the university of last attendance on a part-time basis only was reported as a code 8.

Institution from which last degree obtained - A single digit code was used to indicate whether the applicant's most recent degree was obtained from the last university attended, from the previous university attended, or from some other institution.

University of previous attendance - Using codes identical to those described under university of last attendance, the penultimate university attended by the applicant was reported. If the applicant had attended only one university this element was coded 00.

Date of last attendance at university - Using a single digit code, this element was used to indicate whether the applicant was currently attending university, whether the applicant had attended university in the year previous to application, or whether the applicant had last attended university more than one

full academic year prior to the time of application.

Most recent previous application - Schools were asked to identify those applicants who had applied previously according to whether or not they had applied in the year prior to that for which information was being reported.

Number of previous applications - Using a simple code, schools were asked to report whether applicants had submitted no, one, two, or more than two, previous applications.

Academic record - It was necessary to develop specific methodolgies for each school for this element and for the subsequent element. As most schools did not have codified academic record assessment scales, the terminology used was inevitably subjective. Schools were asked to rate the academic record of each applicant according to a scale of one to four.

LSAT score - This was handled in a manner identical to that in which the academic record (above) was handled. The reluctance of schools to supply actual LSAT scores or actual LSAT precentiles and their inability to apply an objective reporting scale to the students' actual LSAT scores seriously limited the utility of this item.

School decision - The decision of the school with regard to each application was reported. It was necessary to make minor variations to take into account the differing response to applications systems used by the various schools, but, in general, the codes used corresponded to: unconditional offer, conditional offer, waiting list - place not found, rejection or not considered.

Basis for decision - In order to identify those students who were offered a place on the basis of particular criteria, this element requested schools to identify the basis on which their

decision was made. The following 3 bases were used for those students who received an offer: normal criteria, mature student, native person. For those students who did not receive and offer the following bases were reported: no place, file incomplete, below requirements. Again, differences in the admissions practices and records of the various schools somewhat limited the usefulness of this item. It had been intended that mature and native applicants would be identified as a category, whether or not they received an offer. Unfortunately, the majority of schools were not able to do this.

Student response - This element identified those students who received an offer which they subsequently rejected. The following alternatives could be coded for such applicants: acceptance, acceptance cancelled, rejection, no response.

Final disposition - This element was used to indicate those students who registered and made up the first year class at each law school.

The codes for each element included not reported, although, where appropriate, this category was included with the negative response category. The collection of pre-coded data prevented a more detailed analysis of the data than the code structure permits.

Identifiers for the school to which the application was directed and for the year in which the application was submitted were added during keypunching.